

Methods for Assuring Access to Covered Medicaid Services

Jeff Horton Utilization Committee Chair, DMA

April 15, 2016

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)

- NPRM was published on May 6, 2011
- Impetus for NPRM:
 - State procedures for ensuring access were inconsistent and unreliable.
 - Ongoing litigation by providers asserting potential access issues, and the lack of a clear administrative processes to rebut those assertions.
 - There was no clear national data set or standards.
 - Some states and providers requested that CMS provide guidance on compliance with the access to care requirements. CMS's oversight and enforcement actions were inadequate.
 - NPRM proposed to adopt a transparent and data-driven process for states to use when evaluating access to care.

Comments on the NPRM



- Advocacy groups were generally supportive of the rule, but requested that CMS at least mandate a process for states to engage beneficiaries and providers regarding access.
- Providers were concerned the rule did not go far enough in mandating payment thresholds and restricting states' ability to reduce rates without considering access.
- States were extremely concerned about the administrative burden associated with the proposed requirements and limitations on states' abilities to balance budgets through Medicaid rate reductions during revenue shortfalls.

Final Rule with Comment Period



- Published in the Federal Register on Monday, November 2, 2015, see: <u>http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-11-02/pdf/201527697.pdf</u>
- Creates a standardized, transparent process for states to document whether Medicaid payments are sufficient to enlist providers to assure beneficiary access to covered care and services.
- CMS provided additional opportunity to comment on:
 - The access review requirements, including the service categories to be included, elements of the review and the timeframe for submission; and
 - Whether CMS should allow exemptions based on state program characteristics (e.g. high managed care) and the provisions that could be exempted or streamlined.
- Effective date of the rule was January 4, 2016.



- 42 CFR § 447.203(b) Access monitoring review plan and associated data and requirements
- 42 CFR § 447.204 Provider participation and public process
- 42 CFR § 447.205 Public notice requirements

Access Monitoring Review Plan



§ 447.203(b)

- In consultation with the medical care advisory committee, states must develop an <u>access monitoring</u> <u>review plan</u> and update it according to the timeframe
- The plan must be published and made available for public review and comment for no less than 30 days prior to it being finalized
- The plan must be submitted to CMS for review

§ 447.203(b)(1) – Data requirements

- Must include an access monitoring analysis:
 - Data sources
 - Methodologies
 - Baselines
 - Assumptions
 - Trends and factors
 - Thresholds
- Must specify data elements that will support the state's analysis of whether beneficiaries have sufficient access to care

§ 447.203(b)(1) – Data requirements (cont.)

- Plan and monitoring analysis must consider:
 - Extent to which beneficiary needs are fully met;
 - Availability of care through enrolled providers in each geographic area, by provider type and site of service;
 - Changes in beneficiary utilization of covered services in each geographic area
 - Characteristics of the beneficiary population
 - Actual or estimated levels of provider payments available from other payers, including public and private payers, by provider type and site of service

§ 447.203(b)(2) – beneficiary and provider input

- Must consider relevant provider and beneficiary information, including information obtained through:
 - Public rate-setting processes
 - Medical advisory committees established under § 413.12
 - Provider and beneficiary feedback mechanisms
 - Other mechanisms (e.g., letters to state or federal officials)

§ 447.203(b)(3) – comparative payment rate review

- Plan must include an analysis of the percentage comparison of Medicaid payment rates to other public (including Medicaid managed care) and private payment rates within geographic areas
- For each service reviewed, by provider type and site of service

§ 447.203(b)(4) – standards and methodologies

- Must include, at a minimum
 - Specific measures the state uses to analyze access to care (e.g., time and distance, participating providers, service utilization patterns, etc)
 - How the measures relate to the access monitoring review plan
 - Baseline and updated data associated with the measures
 - Any access issues that are discovered
 - Recommendations on the sufficiency of access to care based on the review

§ 447.203(b)(5) - timeframe

- Beginning October 1, 2016, states must develop its access monitoring review plan by October 1 and update the plan by July 1 of each subsequent review period
- Updated data and analysis must be incorporated into the review plan every 3 years

§ 447.203(b)(5)(ii) – must include a separate data analysis for each provider type and site of service furnishing the following services:

- Primary care services
- Physician specialist services
- Behavioral health services
- Pre- and post-natal obstetric services, including labor and delivery
- Home health services
- Additional services for which the state or CMS has received a significantly higher volume of access complaints
- Additional types of services selected by the state

§ 447.203(b)(6) – special provisions for proposed provider rate reductions or restructuring

- State must submit an access review with any SPA that reduces or restructures provider rates
- Access review must demonstrate sufficient access for services impacted by the rate reduction
- State must establish procedures to monitor access after implementation of the rate reduction
- Must include period review and have defined measures, baseline data and thresholds
- Monitoring procedures must be in place for at least 3 years following the effective date of the SPA

§ 477.203(b)(7) – Mechanism for ongoing beneficiary and provider input

- States must have ongoing mechanisms for provider input
 - Hotlines, surveys,
 - Ombudsman
 - Review of grievance and appeals
- Must respond to input with appropriate investigation, analysis and response
- Must maintain a record of input and the nature of the state's response

§ 447.203(b)(8) – Addressing questions and remediation of inadequate access

- States must submit a corrective action plan within 90 days of identifying access issues
- Must include specific steps and timelines to address the issues
- Remediation of the deficiencies should be within 12 months

§ 447.204(a) – States must consider, prior to the submission of any SPA to reduce or restructure Medicaid payment rates:

- Data collection and analysis performed as part of the access monitoring review plan
- Input from beneficiaries, providers and other stakeholders on access to the affected services and impact of the rate reduction on continued access
- State must maintain a record of the volume of input and the nature of the feedback received

§ 447.204(b) – With any proposed SPA that affects payment rates, states must submit the following to CMS:

- The most recent access review monitoring plan for the affected services
- An analysis of the effect of the change in payment rates on access
- A specific analysis of the information and concerns expressed by affected stakeholders

§ 447.204(c) – CMS may disapprove a SPA that does not include this documentation

§ 447.204(d) – CMS may also take compliance action to remedy an access deficiency.



§ 447.205 – States may meet the public notice requirements by publishing notice on a Website developed and maintained by the single State agency provided that:

- The site is clearly titled and can be easily reached from hyperlink included on sites that provide general information to beneficiaries and providers;
- Is updated for bulletins on a regular and known basis, and the public notice is issued as part of the regular update;
- Includes the actual date it was released to the public on the Web site;
- Complies with national standards to ensure access to individuals with disabilities;
- Includes protections to ensure the content of the issued notice is not modified after the initial publication;
- Is maintained on the Web site for no less than a 3-year period



Questions?