- 1 2
- 10A NCAC 22J .0103 is proposed for readoption without substantive change as follows:
- 3 10A NCAC 22J .0103 RECONSIDERATION REVIEW PROCESS
- 4 (a) Upon receipt of a timely request for a reconsideration review that is submitted timely pursuant to Rule .0102 of
- 5 this Subchapter, review, the Deputy Director shall appoint a reviewer or panel to conduct the review. The Division
- 6 <u>shall DMA will arrange with the provider a time and date of the hearing</u>. The provider <u>shall must</u> reduce his arguments
- 7 to writing and submit them to the Division DMA no later than 14 calendar days prior to the review. Failure to submit
- 8 written arguments within this time frame shall be grounds for dismissal of the reconsideration, unless the Division
- 9 within the 14 calendar day period agrees to a <u>delay for good cause</u>. delay.
- 10 (b) The provider <u>shall will</u> be entitled to <u>an in-person</u> a personal review meeting unless the provider agrees to a review
- 11 of documents only or a discussion by telephone.
- 12 (c) Following the review, the Division DMA shall, within 30 calendar days or such additional time thereafter as

13 specified in writing during the 30 day period, render a decision in writing and send it by certified mail to the provider

- 14 or his representative.
- 15

16	History Note:	Authority G.S. 108A-25(b); 108A-54; 150B-11; 42 U.S.C. 1396b; <u>42 C.F.R. 455.512;</u>
17		Eff. January 1, 1988;
18		Pursuant to G.S. 150B-33(b)(9), Administrative Law Judge Augustus B. Elkins, II declared this rule
19		void as applied in Psychiatric Solutions, Inc., d/b/a/ Holly Hill Hospital v. Division of Medical
20		Assistance, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (02 DHR <u>1499);</u> 1499).
21		<u>Readopted Eff. May 1, 2018.</u>
22		
23		

1 of 1